



David.C.Adams@fakeaddress.net on 09/05/2001 07:14:54 PM

RECEIVED

SEP 06 2001

To: PSSE, Bonnie Fogdall/YM/RWDOE  
cc:

Subject: PSSE Comment

Part of Records Package / Supplement / Correction

September 05, 2001 19:14:54

IP address: 24.234.53.3

-----  
 ---> Commentors Name: Mr. David C Adams  
 ---> Organization:  
 ---> Position: Citizen  
 -----

-----  
 ---> The Commentors Address:  
 ---> 8409 Stardance Avenue  
 ---> Las Vegas, Nevada 89143  
 -----

-----  
 ---> Email Information:  
 ---> David@OurHouseAntiques.com  
 ---> Add commentor to the mailing list : no  
 -----

-----  
 ---> Contact Information:  
 ---> fax number : -  
 ---> phone number : -  
 ---> organization :  
 ---> position : Citizen  
 -----

---> Comment Text :  
 I strongly favor the use of Yucca Mountain as a nuclear waste depository for the following reasons:

1. It is out in "the middle of nowhere", far enough from civilization and from where civilization is LIKELY to expand to.
2. Our "generation" (I'm 57) created the problem of nuclear waste, and contrary to Mr Reid and Mr Ensign, I believe it is OUR responsibility to deal with the problem and not pass it on to the next generation by "leaving it where it is for 100 years". Ensign and Reid will be long dead by then, and will have slipped away from THEIR responsibility.
3. There are very few "remote" or "middle of nowhere" sites available in the USA. Many remote areas are either seismically unstable or sit atop an aquifer. Yucca Mountain appears to be stable, remote and isolated.

4. The Senators talk about \$60 billion in construction costs - but a goodly portion of that money will be spent in Nevada, and provide jobs for folks in Nevada (presumably on a permanent basis after the site is up and running). You certainly want to spend ENOUGH money to ensure public safety, and perhaps the two Senators would like to explain how they would do it for LESS money, if that is their real concern.

5. Nuclear energy is cheap, safe, effective, and if it weren't for the "head-in-the-sand" types like Reid and Ensign, would play a far more significant role in US energy production. It's the "anti-nukes" that are the problem, not the nuclear power plants. The design of a modern nuclear plant makes that plant safer than a gas-fired or coal-fired plant. The only problem is spent fuel, and Yucca Mountain is the answer to that problem.

Tell these folks to quit crying "the sky is falling" and get with it. Yucca Mountain is good for the USA and is certainly good for Nevada.

~~~~~